From: [redacted]
To: Ministerial Correspondence
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk
Subject: Objection to an urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A
Date: 17 July 2026 21:43:52

[You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

Dear Secretary of State, letter dated 17th July 2026

I am writing as a local resident to oppose the use of the urgent planning process for the proposal to accommodate up to 1,250 single adult male asylum seekers at Site A, MOD Bicester.

On 9 July, my MP, Calum Miller, was informed by a Defence Minister that the Home Office first approached the MOD about the use of this site in November 2025.

You will be aware that the use of the site for such a huge asylum camp is very controversial – and widely opposed – locally. It is very important that local people – and our local representatives in the councils, health board, police and other services – are given sufficient information and time to make an intelligent response.

It is clearly unreasonable for this to be considered "urgent". The Government cannot consider it for nine months and then give local residents a matter of days to contribute to a consultation.

Can I therefore urge you to withdraw consent for this to be treated as an urgent application and instead insist that the usual planning process is followed, so local voices can be heard about a proposal that will affect us far more than any Secretary of State in London?

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

Our main concerns of rejection to this proposal are ecological ie Bats and other protected plant and insect life mammals sloe worms present on site from our own Bat Scanner Equipment perimeter around Site A area survey of site showed Bats present on site will you be supplying Bat tunnels across the site like HS2 Bat protection scheme to protect the Bats and the Asbestos Roofing and chemical contaniments at The Old Military Buildings potential dumped military ordinance possibly buried on site and many other concerns Security and protection to local villagers. As the history of the site from WW1 And WW2 Military Storage there is a lot of health and safety checks to be completed at this site before its even considered by your department what is the land title MOD Land Owned Leased or in a Trust Agreement is this shown at MH Land Registry what restrictive covernents apply to this site ie if not in Military use returned to its original Farm use etc and owners for community land use etc
As [redacted] what Security protection will be offered to local residents and will our Poll Tax be reduced as I have been informed our property values will drop by up to 40% of resale value if we can even sell our home are you offering compensation to residents within say a 10 mile radius from the site if so please forward a claims form just a few concerns I am sure there are more can you please answer these questions with great urgency to me at email [redacted] for my consideration on this subject

[redacted]